

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,121	03/10/2004	Christopher T. Evans	UNION STREET	2491
James C. Wray	7590 01/30/200	EXAMINER		
Suite 300 1493 Chain Bridge Road McLean, VA 22101			BUI, LUAN KIM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3728	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/796,121	EVANS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Luan K. Bui	3728			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the communication apport.	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 136(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTIE, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Oly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	·—	s action is non-final.				
الــا(د	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	·	ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 455 O.G. 215.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-21 and 26-46</u> is/are Claim(s) <u>24,25 and 49-51</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>22,23,47,48</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from considera	tion.			
		·				
_	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	ГО-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Apprite to the second in Apprite to the second in Apprite to the second in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National	Stage		
Attachmen	• •					
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date  prmal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/796,121 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 22, 23, 47 and 48 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rebne et al. (5,019,125; hereinafter Rebne'125). Rebne'125 discloses a blister package opener apparatus (10) comprising a blister package (34) having blisters (37-42) and a cover layer (54, 55), a frame (12) connected to a top of the blister package and an opener (11, 23-26) connected to the frame at least along the posts (48-51) and the side walls (14-21). As to claim 23, the frame covers the cover layer (Figure 3) and the opener comprises tabs (23-26) having ends hinged to the frame (since tabs hingedly connected to the opener and the opener connected to the frame. Therefore, the tabs hinged to the frame, Figures 3 and 6). The tabs are inherently capable of lifting. Claims 47 and 48 are anticipated by the method of using the apparatus of Rebne'125.
- 3. Claims 22 and 47 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Intini (4,537,312). Intini discloses a blister package opener apparatus (10) comprising a blister package (16) having blisters (22) and a cover layer (20), a frame (14) connected to a top of the blister package and an opener (12) connected to the frame along the sides (32, 38, Figures 5-7). Claim 47 is anticipated by the method of using the apparatus of Intini.

4. Claims 22, 23, 47 and 48 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ardito (4,074,806). Ardito discloses a blister package opener apparatus (10) comprising a blister package (44) having blisters (46) and a cover layer (48), a frame (12) connected to a top of the blister package and an opener (14) connected to the frame along the hinge (16). As to claim 23, the frame covers the cover layer (Figure 3) and the opener comprises tabs (34) having ends hinged to the frame (since tabs hingedly connected to the opener and the opener connected to the frame. Therefore, the tabs hinged to the frame, Figure 1). The tabs are inherently capable of lifting. Claims 47 and 48 are anticipated by the method of using the apparatus of Ardito.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 24, 25 and 49-51 are allowed.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 12/13/2006 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with respect to Rebne in the remarks are noted. They are not persuasive because the blister package is connected to the frame at least along the posts (48-51, column 3, lines 1-2) and the element 11 is connected to the tray 12 along the posts (48-51) and side walls. The element 11 contains elements that open the blisters are considered equivalent to an opener as claimed. The hinged panel elements 23-26 in Rebne are inherently capable of lifting which are considered equivalent to the lifting tabs as claimed.

Page 4

Applicant's arguments with respect to Intini in the remarks are noted. They are not persuasive because Intini discloses the opener connected to the frame (Figures 6, 7 and 10) and the opener (12, 32, Figure 10) connected to the frame as claimed. Applicant is requested to review the claims as cited prior of making the arguments.

Applicant's arguments with respect to Ardito in the remarks are noted. They are also unpersuasive because Ardito discloses the frame connected to the top of the blister package (Figure 3 shows the blister package is in contacted with the frame) and the opener (14, 34) connected to the frame along the hinge (16). The dispensing elements (34) of Ardito are inherently capable of lifting which are considered equivalent to lifting tabs as claimed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,121

Art Unit: 3728

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4552. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lkb

January 24, 2007

Luan K. Bui

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 3728